UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,747	04/19/2006	Jorg Harren	5003073.070US1	5291
	7590 04/28/200 E LEATHERWOOD 1	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2192		WESTERBERG, NISSA M		
GREENSBORO, NC 27420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

phil.mccann@smithmoorelaw.com lorna.selvaggio@smithmoorelaw.com mary.garner@smithmoorelaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/563,747	HARREN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nissa M. Westerberg	1618		

	Missa IVI. Westerberg	1010					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of <i>i</i> eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	TED MILIUM 1440				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount on tending amount of the correction and the correction and the correction and the corrections are considered as a correction and the correction and the correction and the corresponding amount of the corresponding amoun	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	' ''I'- 07 OFD 44 07	91-1-10-1-1 O	6 (1)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the NEWENTON. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	and the second second						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further con 			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12	l6 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>22, 24 - 27, 29 - 47, 50 - 61, 69</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Michael G. Hartley/	/Nissa M Westerberg/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618	Examiner, Art Unit 1618						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment filed April 9, 2009 rinlcudes new limitations that have not been previously considered. The exlcusion of "plant powders having a deodorzing effect" and "the active substance does not have a deodorizing effect" have not previously presented. Product-by-process limitations were presented in some of the independent claims, such as claim 31 in the claim set filed October 29, 2008, but not in all of the independent claims. A new combination of limitations is caused by the addition of product-by-process to the independent claims such as claim 22, and the broadneing of the language from a "polycondensate monomer before the completion of the polycondensate formation" in the previously filed claim set to the use of acrylic acid monomers and a polymerization process which does not occur by polycondensate formation. Thus, the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.